A calculation of periodic data of surface diffeomorphisms with one saddle orbit

Elena Nozdrinova, Olga Pochinka

Abstract. We prove that every orientable surface admits an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism with one saddle orbit. It distinguishes in principle the considered class of systems from source-sink diffeomorphisms existing only on the sphere. It is shown that diffeomorphisms with one saddle orbit of a positive type on any surface have exactly three node orbits. We also describe all possible types of periodic data for such diffeomorphisms, and found formulas expressing periods of sources via periods of the sink and the saddle.

Анотація. При вивченні дискретних динамічних систем важливу роль відіграють періодичні орбіти. Класичним прикладом є теорема Шарковського про відображення відрізка в себе, яка стверджує, що з існування орбіт періоду три “породжує хаос”. В останні 40 років з’явилося багато робіт присвячених вивченню періодичних даних відображень поверхонь. Найбільш корисними інструментами для доведення існування нерухомих точок та, в більш загальному випадку, періодичних точок неперервного відображення компактного многовиду, є теорема Лефшеця про нерухому точку та її узагальнення. Дзета-функція Лефшеця спрощує вивчення періодичних точок дифеоморфізмів поверхонь з регулярною динамікою. Результати досліджень в даному напрямку можна знайти в роботах таких авторів як: П. Бланшар, С. Баттерсон, У. Жако, Дж. Френкс, С. Нарасімхан і ін. Опис періодичних даних градієнтно-подібних дифеоморфізмів поверхонь був отриманий А. Безденежних та В. Грінесом і спирався на класифікацію гомеоморфізмів поверхонь, отриману Дж. Нільсеном.

У роботі “A complete topological classification of Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms on surfaces: a kind of kneading theory in dimension two” В. Грінес, О. Починка, С. Ван Стріен показали, що вивчення періодичних даних довільних дифеоморфізмів Морса-Смейла на поверхнях зводиться шляхом фільтрації до задачі обчислення періодичних даних дифеоморфізмів з єдиною седловою періодичною орбітою. Представлена робота присвячена вирішенню останньої задачі у випадку, коли орбіта сідової точки

Keywords: periodic data, surface

має додатний тип орієнтації. В статті доведено, що на кожній орієнтованій поверхні існує дифеоморфізм, який зберігає орієнтацію і має єдину сідлову орбіту. Це принципово відрізняє розглянутий клас систем від дифеоморфізмів “джерело-стік”, які існують лише на сфері. Показано, що дифеоморфізми з однією сідловою орбітою на будь-якій поверхні мають рівно три вузлових орбіти. Крім того, встановлено всі можливі типи періодичних даних для таких дифеоморфізмів, а саме: знайдено формули, що явно виражають періоди джерел через періоди стоку та сідла.

1. INTRODUCTION AND A FORMULATION OF RESULTS

In the study of discrete dynamical systems, i.e. study of orbits of self-maps f defined on a given compact manifold, the periodic behavior plays an important role. During the last forty years there were a growing number of results showing that certain simple assumptions on f force qualitative and quantitative properties (like the set of periods) of a system. One of the best known result in this direction is the paper entitled “Period three implies chaos for the interval continuous self-maps” (see, for example, [8]). The effect described in [8] was discovered by A. Sharkovsky in [12]. The most useful tools for proving existence of fixed points, or more generally of periodic points for a continuous self-map f of a compact manifold, is the Lefschetz Fixed Point Theorem and its generalizations (see, for instance [11], [3]). The Lefschetz zeta function simplifies the study of periodic points of f . This is a generating function for all the Lefschetz numbers of all iterates of f .

Periodic data of diffeomorphisms with regular dynamics on surfaces is studied in already classical works by P. Blanchard, J. Franks, R. Bowen, S. Batterson, J. Smillie, W. Jaco, P. Shalen, C. Narasimhan and other. Description of periodic data of gradient-like diffeomorphisms of surfaces was given by A. Bezdenezhnykh and V. Grines [1], using J. Nielsen’s classification of periodic surface transformations. In the paper by V. Grines, O. Pochinka, S. Van Strien [6] it was shown that the study of periodic data of arbitrary Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms on surfaces is reduced by filtration to the problem of computing periodic data of diffeomorphisms with a unique saddle periodic orbit. The present paper is devoted to a solution of this problem.

As first we will recall some basic definitions and notations.

Let S_g be a closed orientable surface of genus $g \geq 0$ with a metric d and $f : S_g \rightarrow S_g$ be an orientation preserving diffeomorphism. A point $x \in S_g$ is called *wandering* for f , if there exists an open neighborhood U_x of x such that $f^n(U_x) \cap U_x = \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Otherwise, x is *non-wandering*.

The set of all non-wandering points of f is denoted by Ω_f and called the *non-wandering set* of f .

When Ω_f is finite then every point $p \in \Omega_f$ is periodic, and its period will be denoted by $m_p \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, p is called *hyperbolic* if the Jacobian matrix $(\frac{\partial f^{m_p}}{\partial x})|_p$ has eigenvalues whose absolute values are distinct from 1. If the absolute values of all eigenvalues are less (resp. greater) than 1, then p is called a *sink* (resp. *source*). Sink and source points are also called *nodes*, while hyperbolic periodic points being not *nodes* are called *saddles*.

Hyperbolicity of a periodic point p leads to the existence of the *stable*, W_p^s , and *unstable*, W_p^u , manifolds, which are defined as follows:

$$W_p^s = \{x \in S_g : \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d(f^{k \cdot \text{per}(p)}(x), p) = 0\},$$

$$W_p^u = \{x \in S_g : \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d(f^{-k \cdot \text{per}(p)}(x), p) = 0\}.$$

The stable and unstable manifolds are called *invariant manifolds*. A connected component of the set $W_p^u \setminus p$ (resp. $W_p^s \setminus p$) is called *unstable* (resp. *stable*) *separatrix*.

A diffeomorphism $f : S_g \rightarrow S_g$ is *Morse-Smale* if the set of its wandering points consists of finitely many periodic points and there is no separatrices connecting saddles.

To the orbit $\mathcal{O}_p$ of a periodic point p of a Morse-Smale diffeomorphism f one can associate the following numbers (m_p, q_p, ν_p) called the *periodic data* of p , where

- m_p is the period of p ,
- $q_p = \dim W_p^u$, and
- ν_p is the *orientation type* of p which equals $+1$ (resp. -1) whenever $f^{m_p}|_{W_p^u}$ preserves (resp. reverses) orientation.

Denote by $G(S_g)$ the set of Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms $f : S_g \rightarrow S_g$ having a unique saddle periodic orbit $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$ and satisfying $\nu_\sigma = +1$. The case $\nu_\sigma = -1$ was investigated in [9].

Let $f \in G(S_g)$. It is well known that the Euler characteristic for an orientable surface of genus g is expressed by the formula: $\chi(S_g) = 2 - 2g$, (see, for example, [5], [2]). On the other hand, by [13], a Morse-Smale diffeomorphism induces a cellular decomposition of S_g whose open cells are unstable submanifolds of periodic points:

$$S_g = \bigcup_{p \in \Omega_f} W_p^u.$$

Then

$$c_2 - c_1 + c_0 = 2 - 2g, \tag{1.1}$$

where c_2 is the number of the sources of f corresponding to 2-cells, c_1 is the number saddles corresponding to 1-cells, and c_0 is the number of sinks (0-cells).

The following theorem describes the numbers of the periodic orbits of $f \in G(S_g)$.

Theorem 1.1. *The non-wandering set of every diffeomorphism $f \in G(S_g)$ consists of a unique saddle orbit and three node orbits: either one sink orbit and two source orbits or one source orbit and two sink orbits.*

In what follows we assume that a diffeomorphism $f \in G(S_g)$ has a unique sink orbit $\mathcal{O}_\omega$ and two source orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_1}, \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_2}$ (otherwise, we could just replace f with its inverse f^{-1}).

By assumption f has one saddle periodic orbit preserving the orientation, hence $\nu_\sigma = +1$ and $q_\sigma = 1$. The orbits of $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_1}, \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_2}$ consist of sources, so $q_{\alpha_1} = q_{\alpha_2} = \dim W_{\alpha_i}^u = 2$. Moreover, since f preserves the orientation of open connected invariant subsets, we get that $\nu_{\alpha_i} = +1$. The orbit of $\mathcal{O}_\omega$ consists of sinks, whence $q_\omega = \dim W_\omega^u = 0$ and $\nu_\omega = +1$. Thus, a part of the periodic data is already known and our task is to find the periods $m_\omega, m_\sigma, m_{\alpha_1}, m_{\alpha_2}$. Notice that from (1.1) we have

$$m_{\alpha_1} + m_{\alpha_2} - m_\sigma + m_\omega = 2 - 2g. \quad (1.2)$$

Below (a, b) means the greatest common divisor of the natural numbers a, b , also we assume $(0, b) = b$.

Theorem 1.2. *Every diffeomorphism $f \in G(S_g)$ has the following periodic data:*

$$\begin{aligned} m_\omega &= m, & m_\sigma &= km, \\ m_{\alpha_1} &= (k, j+1) \left(\frac{k}{(k, j+1)}, m \right), \\ m_{\alpha_2} &= (k, j) \left(\frac{k}{(k, j)}, m \right), \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$.

Furthermore, for every collection of the natural numbers $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ there exists a diffeomorphism $f \in G(S_g)$ with a periodic data of the form (1.3) on a surface of genus

$$g = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left((k-1)m - (k, j+1) \left(\frac{k}{(k, j+1)}, m \right) - (k, j) \left(\frac{k}{(k, j)}, m \right) \right).$$

Corollary 1.3. *Due to theorem above every orientable surface of genus g admits a diffeomorphism from the class $G(S_g)$, with the following periodic data: $m_\sigma = 2g + 1$, $m_\omega = m_{\alpha_1} = m_{\alpha_2} = 1$, see Figure 1.1.*

FIGURE 1.1. Diffeomorphisms from $G(S_1)$ with $m_\sigma = 3$, $m_\omega = m_{\alpha_1} = m_{\alpha_2} = 1$

Acknowledgements. The study of the non-wandering set structure of considered diffeomorphisms was financial supported by RFBR (project 18-31-00022), the finding of a list of the periodic dates for these diffeomorphisms was supported by the fundamental research program of the HSE in 2018.

The authors are grateful to the referee for the careful reading of the manuscript and very useful comments.

2. STRUCTURE OF THE NON-WANDERING SET

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1. Detailed proofs of auxiliary statements given in this section can be found in [5].

Proof. Let us show that the non-wandering set of every diffeomorphism $f \in G(S_g)$ consists of a unique saddle orbit and three node orbits (either one sink and two source, or one source and two sink orbits).

For the saddle separatrix ℓ we denote by m_ℓ its *period*, that is, the smallest natural number μ such that $f^\mu(\ell) = \ell$. Also denote by $\mathcal{O}_\ell$ the orbit of the separatrix ℓ . By [5, Proposition 2.3, p. 31], the closure of each unstable saddle separatrix contains a unique sink, while the closure of each stable saddle separatrix contains a unique source. Assume that the unstable separatrix ℓ^u of a saddle point σ contains a sink ω in its closure. Let m be the period of ω . According to [10, Theorem 5.5], f^m is locally conjugate at the point ω with the linear diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^2$ given by the formula

$$L(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_\omega$ be the orbit of the point ω , $V_\omega = W_{\mathcal{O}_\omega}^s \setminus \mathcal{O}_\omega$, $\hat{V}_\omega = V_\omega/f$ be the orbit space of the action of the group $F = \{f^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ on V_ω , and $p_\omega : V_\omega \rightarrow \hat{V}_\omega$ the natural projection.

Notice that V_ω is diffeomorphic to a disjoint union of m open cylinders $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ that are cyclically interchanged by f . Moreover, due to [5, Proposition 2.5, p. 35], the space $\hat{V}_\omega$ is diffeomorphic to a two-dimensional torus. Let $x \in V_\omega$ be any point and $\hat{x} = p_\omega(x)$. Then the natural projection $p_\omega : V_\omega \rightarrow \hat{V}_\omega$ is a covering map and we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_1(V_\omega, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(\hat{V}_\omega, \hat{x}) \xrightarrow{\eta_\omega} \pi_0\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_0 V_\omega \rightarrow 1, \quad (2.1)$$

where η_ω is the *boundary* homomorphism. Since the connected component of x in V_ω consists of points $\{f^{km_\omega}(x)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$, it follows that the image of η_ω is a subgroup $m_\omega\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z} \cong \pi_0\mathbb{Z}$.

In other words, we get an epimorphism $\eta_\omega : \pi_1(\hat{V}_\omega) \rightarrow m_\omega\mathbb{Z}$ onto the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}$ consisting of multiples of m_ω . For the convenience of the reader let us recall the definition of η_ω . Let $[\hat{c}] \in \pi_1(\hat{V}_\omega, \hat{x})$ be a loop in $\hat{V}_\omega$ and $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow V_\omega$ be its lift starting at $c(0) = x \in V_\omega$. Then the end point $c(1) = f^n(x)$, for some $n \in m_\omega\mathbb{Z}$, and $\eta_\omega([\hat{c}]) = n$.

Denote by $a : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ the diffeomorphism given by the formula

$$a(x, y) = \left(\frac{x}{2}, 2y \right).$$

Evidently, it has a unique fixed saddle point at the origin O with the stable manifold $W_O^s = Ox$ and the unstable manifold $W_O^u = Oy$. Then the diffeomorphism f^{m_σ} in some neighborhood of the point σ is topologically connected to the diffeomorphism a in a neighborhood of the point O (see, for example, [10, Theorem 5.5]).

Let $\hat{\ell}^u = p_\omega(\ell^u)$ and $j_{\hat{\ell}^u} : \hat{\ell}^u \rightarrow \hat{V}_\omega$ be the inclusion map. It follows from [5, Proposition 2.5, p.35] that the set $\hat{\ell}^u$ is a circle smoothly embedded in $\hat{V}_\omega$ and such that $\eta_\omega(j_{\hat{\ell}^u*}(\pi_1(\hat{\ell}^u))) = m_{\ell^u}\mathbb{Z}$. Notice that $p_\omega(\mathcal{O}_{\ell^u}) = \hat{\ell}^u$.

Figure 2.1 depicts the torus $\hat{V}_\omega$ with the projection $\hat{\ell}^u$ of the separatrix ℓ^u such that $\frac{m_{\ell^u}}{m_\omega} = 3$.

Let $\mathcal{N} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |xy| \leq 1\}$. Notice that the set $\mathcal{N}$ is invariant with respect to the diffeomorphism a . A neighborhood of N_σ of the point σ is called *linearizing* if there exists a homeomorphism $\mu_\sigma : N_\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ conjugating the restriction $f^{m_\sigma}|_{N_\sigma}$ with the diffeomorphism $a|_{\mathcal{N}}$.

In this case the neighborhood

$$N_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma} = \bigcup_{j=0}^{m_\sigma-1} f^j(N_\sigma)$$

FIGURE 2.1. The projection of the saddle separatrix in the orbit space of the sink basin homeomorphic to the torus

of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_\sigma = \bigcup_{j=0}^{m_\sigma-1} f^j(\sigma)$ equipped with the map $\mu_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma} : N_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$, defined by

$$\mu_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}|_{f^j(N_\sigma)} = \mu_\sigma \circ f^{-j} : f^j(N_\sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}, \quad j = 0, \dots, m_\sigma - 1,$$

is called the *linearizing neighborhood of the orbit* $\mathcal{O}_\sigma$.

Due to [5, Theorem 2.2, p. 29], the saddle point (orbit) of the diffeomorphism f has a linearizing neighborhood.

Let $\mathcal{N}^u = \mathcal{N} \setminus \mathcal{O}_\sigma$ and $\hat{\mathcal{N}}^u = \mathcal{N}^u / a$ be the orbit space of the action of the group $\{a^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ on $\mathcal{N}^u$. Then the natural projection $p_{\hat{\mathcal{N}}^u} : \mathcal{N}^u \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{N}}^u$ is a covering map. Moreover, the fundamental domain of the action of the group $\{a^n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ on $\mathcal{N}^u$ consists of two disjoint curvilinear trapezoids, each of which has equivalent points belonging to the horizontal segments of the boundary. In Figure 2.2 these trapezoids are shaded and it is shown how we can obtain the manifold $\hat{\mathcal{N}}^u$ by identifying their boundaries via the diffeomorphism a . Thus the space $\hat{\mathcal{N}}^u$ is homeomorphic to a pair of two-dimensional annuli K_1, K_2 .

FIGURE 2.2. The orbit space $\hat{\mathcal{N}}^u$

Let

$$N_\sigma^u = N_\sigma \setminus W_\sigma^s, \quad N_\sigma^s = N_\sigma \setminus W_\sigma^u.$$

Denote by N_{ℓ^u} the connected component of the set N_σ^u containing an unstable separatrix ℓ^u . Let also $\hat{N}_{\ell^u} = p_\omega(N_{\ell^u})$ and $j_{N_{\ell^u}} : N_{\ell^u} \rightarrow \hat{V}_\omega$ be the inclusion map. The set $\hat{N}_{\ell^u}$ is a smoothly embedded annulus in $\hat{V}_\omega$ such that $\eta_\omega(j_{\hat{N}_{\ell^u}*}(\pi_1(\hat{N}_{\ell^u}))) = m_{\ell^u}\mathbb{Z}$.

Denote by A the union of all sink points of the diffeomorphism f . Let also $V_A = W_A^s \setminus A$, $\hat{V}_A = V_A/f$, and $p_A : V_A \rightarrow \hat{V}_A$ be the natural projection. Similarly to the above arguments, the orbit space in the sink basin is homeomorphic to the torus, which implies that each connected component of the set $\hat{V}_A$ is homeomorphic to a two-dimensional torus, and the number of connected components coincides with the number of sink orbits.

Let $N_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^u = N_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma} \setminus W_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^s$, $N_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^s = N_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma} \setminus W_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^u$, $\hat{N}_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^u = N_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^u/f$. It follows from [5, Theorem 2.4, p. 42] that the set $\hat{N}_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^u$ is a pair of annuli smoothly embedded in $\hat{V}_A$. Also due to [5, Corollary 2.1, p. 46] the set $\hat{V}_A$ is not empty and, by [5, Corollary 2.2, p. 62], each torus in $\hat{V}_A$ has to contain at least one annulus from the set $\hat{N}_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^u$. Thus $\hat{V}_A$ contains one or two connected components.

Similar statements can be formulated for the source point α and for the stable separatrix ℓ^s of the saddle point σ such that $\ell^s \subset W_\alpha^u$.

Denote by R the union of the source points of the diffeomorphism f . Let also $V_R = W_R^u \setminus R$, $\hat{V}_R = V_R/f$ and $p_R : V_R \rightarrow \hat{V}_R$ be the natural projection. Similarly to the above arguments, the orbit space in the source basin is homeomorphic to the torus, which implies that each connected component of the set $\hat{V}_R$ is homeomorphic to a two-dimensional torus, and the number of connected components coincides with the number of source orbits. On the other hand, it follows from the equality $V_R = (V_A \setminus N_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^u) \cup N_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^s$ (see, for instance, [5, Theorem 2.1, p. 28]) that

$$\hat{V}_A = (\hat{V}_R \setminus \hat{N}_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^u) \cup \hat{N}_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^s.$$

Thus, to get the space $\hat{V}_R$ we have to delete $\hat{N}_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^u$ from the torus $\hat{V}_A$ and glue the set $\hat{N}_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^s$ to the boundary of the resulting set.

Each of the sets $\hat{N}_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^u$, $\hat{N}_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^s$ consists of two annuli. Moreover, the annuli $\hat{N}_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^u$ are homotopically non-trivially embedded in the torus $\hat{V}_A$. If we assume that $\hat{V}_A$ consists of a unique connected component then $\hat{V}_A \setminus \hat{N}_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^u$ consists of two annuli and a gluing $\hat{N}_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^s$ to their boundaries gives two two-dimensional tori (see Figure 2.3, where the transition from the sink basins to the sources basins is illustrated by the example of a diffeomorphism

FIGURE 2.3. Regluing along annuli

of the 2-sphere. For convenience, in the above-mentioned basins, fundamental regions are selected, after the identifying of their boundary circles (the corresponding tori in the quotient spaces are obtained). This means that there are exactly two source orbits for the diffeomorphism f , that is, $R = \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_1} \cup \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_2}$ for some periodic sources α_1, α_2 .

If we assume that $\hat{V}_A$ consists of two connected components then the similar cut and gluing operation implies the existence of the unique source orbit in this case. $\square$

3. PERIODIC DATA

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. Firstly let us show that every diffeomorphism $f \in G(S_g)$ has following periodic data, see (1.3):

$$\begin{aligned} m_\omega &= m, & m_\sigma &= km, \\ m_{\alpha_1} &= (k, j+1) \left(\frac{k}{(k, j+1)}, m \right), \\ m_{\alpha_2} &= (k, j) \left(\frac{k}{(k, j)}, m \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ are natural numbers.

Let us introduce an abstract model of dynamics in the basin of a periodic sink of *period* m . Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer and $V_m = \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}_m$. Thus V_m is a model for the basin of a periodic sink of *period* m . Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\tau \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ and

$$\gamma_1^\tau = \bigcup_{\tau=0}^{k-1} e^{i\pi(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2\tau}{k})} \times \mathbb{R}^+, \quad \gamma_2^\tau = \bigcup_{\tau=0}^{k-1} e^{i\pi(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2\tau+1}{k})} \times \mathbb{R}^+,$$

$$\gamma_1 = \bigcup_{\tau=0}^{k-1} \gamma_1^\tau \times \mathbb{Z}_m, \quad \gamma_2 = \bigcup_{\tau=0}^{k-1} \gamma_2^\tau \times \mathbb{Z}_m,$$

Here $\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$ models the saddle unstable separatrices, see Figure 3.1.

Let $n \geq 0$ be any integer satisfying the following conditions:

- if $k = 1$, then $n = 0$;
- otherwise, $n \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ is such that mn and k are co-prime.

Here mn models the period of periodic unstable separatrices in V_m and $\frac{mn}{k}$ represents their “rotation number”, i.e. how the diffeomorphism permutes these separatrices. As a local model for the diffeomorphism on the basin we take the contraction $\phi_{m,k,n} : V_m \rightarrow V_m$ given by the formula:

$$\phi_{m,k,n}(z, r, w) = \left(ze^{-\frac{2\pi mn}{k}i}, \frac{r}{2^m}, w + 1 \bmod m \right).$$

Then

$$\phi_{m,k,n}(\gamma_i^\tau \times \{w\}) = \gamma_i^{\tau+mn} \times \{w + 1 \bmod m\} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $i = 1, 2$, $\tau = 0, \dots, k-1$, $w = 0, \dots, m-1$.

Notice that $\hat{V}_{m,k,n} = V_m / \phi_{m,k,n}$ is a torus. Let $p_{m,k,n} : V_m \rightarrow \hat{V}_{m,k,n}$ the natural projection. Then $\hat{\gamma}_i = p_{m,k,n}(\gamma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ is a knot in $\hat{V}_{m,k,n}$.

Let $f \in G(S_g)$. For the sink orbit $\mathcal{O}_\omega$ put $V_\omega = W_{\mathcal{O}_\omega}^s \setminus \mathcal{O}_\omega$. Denote by $\hat{V}_\omega = V_\omega / f$ the orbit space of the action of the group $F = \{f^i, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ on V_ω and by $p_\omega : V_\omega \rightarrow \hat{V}_\omega$ the natural projection. The unstable separatrices ℓ_1^u , ℓ_2^u of the saddle point σ have period m_σ and lie in the basin V_ω . Since the group F acts transitively on the connected components of V_ω (the number of such components is m) and on the orbit of each unstable separatrix (the number of the connected components of this orbit is m_σ), it follows that in each connected component of the set V_ω there exists the same number of separatrices from that orbit. Hence the period m_σ is a multiple of the period m .

Thus each connected component of V_ω contains $k := \frac{m_\sigma}{m}$ separatrices from the orbit of the separatrix ℓ_i^u . Let $\hat{\ell}_1^u = p_\omega(\ell_1^u)$ and $\hat{\ell}_2^u = p_\omega(\ell_2^u)$. Then there is a number n and a diffeomorphism $\hat{h}_\omega : \hat{V}_\omega \rightarrow \hat{V}_{m,k,n}$ transforming the knots $\hat{\ell}_1^u$, $\hat{\ell}_2^u$ to the knots $\hat{\gamma}_1$, $\hat{\gamma}_2$. Thus, there is a lift $h_\omega : V_\omega \rightarrow V_m$ of $\hat{h}_\omega$ which sends the separatrices $W_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^u \setminus \mathcal{O}_\sigma$ to the frame of rays $\gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$ and conjugates diffeomorphism $f|_{V_\omega}$ with the diffeomorphism $\phi_{m,k,n}$, e.g. [5, Statement 10.35, p. 243]. In this case, we may identify the conjugated objects everywhere below.

Notice that $\gamma_1^0 \times \{0\}$ corresponds to one of unstable separatrices, say ℓ_1^u , of the saddle point. Let $\gamma_2^j \times \{\rho\}$ be another separatrix ℓ_2^u , where

$j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ and $\rho \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$. Then

$$(\rho, m) = 1$$

due to connectivity of the ambient surface S_g . Moreover, as h_ω conjugates f with $\phi_{m,k,n}$, it follows from (3.1) that for every $\tau \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$

$$\gamma_1^\tau \times \{w\} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_2^{(\tau+j) \bmod k} \times \{w + \rho \bmod m\}$$

are stable and unstable manifolds of the same saddle point, so the parameters j and ρ determine the are responsible for division of separatrices into stable-unstable submanifolds of the same saddle.

Notice that the choice of j and ρ depends on the order which h_ω maps $\gamma_1^0 \times \{0\}$ and $\gamma_2^j \times \{\rho\}$ to separatrices of the saddle point. It exchange those separatrices, so $\gamma_1^0 \times \{0\}$ will correspond to ℓ_2^u , and $\gamma_2^{j'} \times \{\rho'\}$ to ℓ_1^u , then the pairs (j, ρ) and (j', ρ') are related by the formulas:

$$j + j' + 1 = k, \quad \rho + \rho' + 1 = m.$$

This leads to the formulas

$$(k, j' + 1) = (k, j), \quad (m, \rho' + 1) = (m, \rho),$$

guaranteeing that in (1.3) the periods $m_{\alpha_1}, m_{\alpha_2}$ do not depend on the order of separatrices.

By Theorem 1.1, the non-wandering set of f contains exactly two source orbits $\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_1}, \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_2}$ such that $cl(\ell_1^u) = \ell_1^u \cup \alpha_1$, and $cl(\ell_2^u) = \ell_2^u \cup \alpha_2$. Thus

$$W_{\mathcal{O}_\omega}^s = S_g \setminus cl(W_{\mathcal{O}_\sigma}^s).$$

If we remove from our surface S_g the closures of m_σ stable manifolds, then we get m disks (the basins of the sinks). Since each stable manifold locally separates two such discs on the supporting surface, it follows that every stable manifold will included twice to the boundaries of the disks after cutting. Thus, the boundary of each disk consists of $\frac{2m_\sigma}{m} = 2k$ stable manifolds so that disk can be regarded as $2k$ -gon (see Figures 3.1 and 3.2 on the left).

The stable separatrices are called s_1 - and s_2 -curves, the unstable separatrices (they are located on the rays of the frames γ_1 and γ_2) are called u -curves frames, and the segments connecting the vertices of the polygon with its center are called t -curves. Thus, this (colored) curves divide every polygon into the triangles with s_i -, t -, u -sides. Let us enumerate these triangles as it shown on Figures 3.1 and 3.2 on the left.

As u -sides belonging to the rays

$$\gamma_1^\tau \times \{w\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_2^{(\tau+j) \bmod k} \times \{(w + \rho) \bmod m\}$$

FIGURE 3.1. The octagon Π that is the closure of the sink basin of the diffeomorphism $f \in G$ (on the left) and the four-color graph T_f constructed on it (on the right). Here $m = 1, k = 4, n = 1, j = 1, \rho = 0$

are separatrices of the same saddle point of f , it follows that in order to get the surface S_g from the polygons $\Pi_0, \dots, \Pi_{m-1}$ we have to identify the pairs of those sides of polygons which are transversal to this pair of the separatrices.

To compute periods of source points we associate a four-color graph with the diffeomorphism f in the following way (see for details, for example, [7] and [4]):

- 1) the vertices of the graph T_f one-to-one correspond to the triangular regions;
- 2) two vertices of the graph are incident to the edge of color s_1, s_2, t or u if the triangular areas corresponding to these vertices have a common s_1, s_2, t or u side (see Figure 3.1 and 3.2 on the right).

Denote by B_f the set of vertices of the graph T_f and by Δ_f the set of triangles in the partition of the polygon. Let also $\pi_f : \Delta_f \rightarrow B_f$ be a one-to-one correspondence between the set of triangular domains of the diffeomorphism f and the set of vertices of the graph T_f . Then f induces an automorphism $P_f = \pi_f \circ f \circ \pi_f^{-1}$ of the set of vertices and edges of the graph T_f . Moreover,

- the set of sink points of the diffeomorphism f is in a one-to-one correspondence with the set of tu -cycles of the graph T_f ;
- the set of saddle points of the diffeomorphism f is in a one-to-one correspondence with the set of su -cycles of the graph T_f ;

- the set of source points of the diffeomorphism f is in a one-to-one correspondence with the set of ts -cycles of the graph T_f .

Thus, to determine the period m_{α_i} of the point α_i , $i = 1, 2$, we have to calculate the number of $s_i t$ -cycles. As every such cycle is an image of an other such cycle by f , we see that all cycles must have the same period. Hence, the length of each such cycle is some even number (as edges s_i and t follow one after other), which we denote by $2\lambda_i$. Notice that the number of s_i - and t -edges in all $s_i t$ -cycles equals $2km$ then m_{α_i} is calculated by the formula

$$m_{\alpha_i} = \frac{km}{\lambda_i}. \quad (3.2)$$

FIGURE 3.2. Hexagons Π and $f(\Pi)$ which are the closures of the sinks basins of the diffeomorphism $f \in G$ (left) and the four-color graph T_f (right) constructed from them. Here $m = 2$, $k = 3$, $n = 1$, $j = 2$, and $\rho = 1$

Now we will calculate the length of s_1t -cycle starting from the s_1 -edge $(0_1, j_2)$. We get the following sequence of the vertices

$$\begin{aligned} 0_1 &\rightarrow j_2 \rightarrow ((j+1) \bmod k)_1 \rightarrow ((2j+1) \bmod k)_2 \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow (2(j+1) \bmod k)_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow (\lambda_1(j+1) \bmod k)_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\tau)_i$ correspond to the separatrix γ_i^τ , $i = 1, 2$. Since that sequence constitute a cycle, we obtain that

$$\lambda_1(j+1) \bmod k = 0, \quad \lambda_1 \rho \bmod m = 0,$$

whence

$$\lambda_1(j+1) = lk, \quad \lambda_1 \rho = rm$$

for some l, r .

Let $A = (k, j+1)$. Then $k = pA, j+1 = qA$, where $(p, q) = 1$. Hence,

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{lp}{q} = \frac{rm}{\rho}.$$

As λ_1 is a natural, $(p, q) = 1$, and $(\rho, m) = 1$, it follows that $l = \mu q$ and $r = \nu \rho$. Hence $\lambda_1 = \mu p = \nu m$ and $(\mu, \nu) = 1$, since λ_1 is the minimal number with the property $\lambda_1 = \tilde{\mu}p = \tilde{\nu}m$ for some natural $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}$.

Let $B = (p, m)$ then $p = xB, m = yB$, where $(x, y) = 1$. Therefore $\mu x = \nu y, \mu = y, \nu = x$, and $\lambda_1 = yp$. Thus

$$m_{\alpha_1} = \frac{km}{\lambda_1} = \frac{km}{yp} = \frac{pAm}{yp} = AB = (k, j+1) \left(\frac{k}{(k, j+1)}, m \right).$$

A similar construction for α_2 gives $m_{\alpha_2} = (k, j) \left(\frac{k}{(k, j)}, m \right)$. By (1.2),

$$m + (k, j+1) \left(\frac{k}{(k, j+1)}, m \right) + (k, j) \left(\frac{k}{(k, j)}, m \right) - km = 2 - 2g.$$

In an addition, every collection of natural numbers $m \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ can be realized by an admissible four-color graph, which in turn, due to [7], allows to construct a diffeomorphism $f \in G(S_g)$ with a periodic data of the form (1.3) on a surface of genus

$$g = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left((k-1)m - (k, j+1) \left(\frac{k}{(k, j+1)}, m \right) - (k, j) \left(\frac{k}{(k, j)}, m \right) \right).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A.N. Bezdenezhnyh, V.Z. Grines. Realization of gradient-like diffeomorphisms of two-dimensional manifolds. *Sel. Math. Sov., translation from Differential and integral equations (Russian)*, 11(1):19–23, 1985.
- [2] Yu. G. Borisovich, N. M. Bliznyakov, Y. A. Izrailevich, T. N. Fomenko. *Introduction to the topology*, volume 296. Moscow, Higher school, 1980.

- [3] J. Franks. *Homology and dynamical systems*. CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. 49, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982.
- [4] V. Grines, D. Malyshev, O. Pochinka, S. Zinina. Efficient algorithms for the recognition of topologically conjugate gradient-like diffeomorphisms. *Regular and Chaotic Dynamics*, 21(2):189–203, 2016.
- [5] V. Grines, T. Medvedev, O. Pochinka. *Dynamical Systems on 2- and 3-Manifolds.*, volume 364. Springer International Publishing Switzerland., 2016.
- [6] V. Grines, O. Pochinka, S. Van Strien. Realization of gradient-like diffeomorphisms of twodimensional manifolds. *arXiv*, 2017.
- [7] V. Z. Grines, S. Kh. Kapkaeva, O. V. Pochinka. A three-colour graph as a complete topological invariant for gradient-like diffeomorphisms of surfaces. *Sbornik Mathematics*, 205(10):19–46, 2014.
- [8] A Li, J Yorke. Period three implies chaos. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, (82):985–992, 1975.
- [9] E. Nozdrinova, O. Pochinka. On periodic data of polar 2-diffeomorphisms with one saddle orbit. *Differential equations and their applications in mathematical modeling: materials of the XIII International Scientific Conference (Saransk, July 12-16, 2017)*, 408–417, 2017.
- [10] J. Palis, W. di Melo. *Geometric theory of dynamical systems. An introduction*. Berlin, Heidelberg, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
- [11] Brown R.F. *The Lefschetz fixed point theorem*, volume 186. Scott, Foresman and Company, Glenview, IL, 1971.
- [12] A.N. Sharkovsky. Coexistence of cycles of a continuous map on itself. *Ukrainian mathematical journal*, 16(1):61–71, 1964.
- [13] S. Smale. Differentiable dynamical systems. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 73(6):747–817, 1967.

Received: September 11, 2017, accepted: June 26, 2018.

Elena Nozdrinova

NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY “HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS”

Email: maati@mail.ru

ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0001-5209-377X

Olga Pochinka

NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY “HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS”

Email: olga-pochinka@yandex.ru

ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0002-6587-5305