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In the group theory, if we consider only nilpotent groups, the nilpotency class is the one which measures a distance from commutativity. Already G. Whitehead [38] had the insight that the (J.H.C.) Whitehead products satisfy identities which reflect commutator identities for groups. Berstein and Ganea [5] adopt the nilpotency to $H$-spaces as follows. Let $X$ be an $H$-space, $\varphi_{X,1} = \text{id}_X$, and $\varphi_{X,2} : X^2 \to X$ the commutator map. Put $\varphi_{X,n+1} = \varphi_{X,1} \circ (\text{id}_X \times \varphi_{X,n})$ for $(n+1)$-fold commutator map of $X$ with $n \geq 2$. An $H$-space $X$ is called homotopy nilpotent of class $n$ if $\varphi_{X,n+1} \simeq *$, is null homotopic but $\varphi_{X,n}$ is not [5]. In this case, we write $\text{nil } X = n$. Dually, it is adopted the co-nilpotency to co-$H$-spaces.

Then, Berstein and Ganea [5] introduced a concept of the homotopy nilpotency (resp. homotopy co-nilpotency) of a pointed space by means of its loop space (resp. suspension space). Its fibrewise version has been studied by James [24]. In particular, the $m$-iterated Samelson products vanish in the loop space $\Omega(X)$, or equivalently, that the $m$-iterated Whitehead products (resp. $\cup$-products) vanish in $X$ provided $m > \text{nil } \Omega(X)$ (resp. $m > \text{co-nil } \Sigma(X)$).

The homotopy nilpotency classes $\text{nil } X$ of associative $H$-spaces $X$ has been extensively studied as well as their homotopy commutativity. Work of Hopkins [22] drew renewed attention to such problems by relating this classical nilpotency notion with the nilpotence theorem of Devinatz, Hopkins, and Smith [11]. In particular, Hopkins [22] made a big progress by giving cohomological criteria for homotopy associative finite $H$-spaces to be homotopy nilpotent. For example, he showed that if a homotopy associative finite $H$-space has no torsion in the integral homology, then it is homotopy nilpotent. Later, Rao [33] showed that the converse of the above criterion is true in the case of groups $\text{Spin}(n)$ and $\text{SO}(n)$. Eventually, Yagita [40] proved that, when $G$ is a compact, simply connected Lie group, its $p$-localization $G_{(p)}$ is homotopy nilpotent if and only if $G$ has no torsion in the integral homology. Finally, Rao [34] showed that a connected compact Lie group is homotopy nilpotent if and only if it has no torsion in homology.

Crabb, Sutherland and Zhang in [10] got surprisingly low bounds for the homotopy nilpotency class of gauge groups when the bundles are stages of in the Milnor construction of the classifying space for a compact Lie group $G$, even when $G$ itself is not homotopy nilpotent. Furthermore, they have proved an equivariant version of Hoppkin’s result for unitary groups. Although many results on the homotopy nilpotency are obtained as above and others, e.g., [27, 37], the homotopy nilpotency classes have not been determined in almost all cases.
Before describing our purpose, it is worthwhile to mention a few recent contributions along these lines. Berger and Bourn [4] study nilpotency in the context of exact Mal’tsev categories taking central extensions as the primitive notion. This yields a nilpotency tower which is analysed from the perspective of Goodwillie’s functor calculus. They show in particular that the reflection into the subcategory of $n$-nilpotent objects is the universal endofunctor of degree $n$ if and only if every $n$-nilpotent object is $n$-folded. In the special context of a semi-Abelian category, an object is $n$-folded precisely when its Higgins commutator of length $(n + 1)$ vanishes.

Biedermann and Dwyer [7] study the connection between the Goodwillie tower of the identity and the lower central series of the loop group on connected spaces. They define homotopy nilpotent groups as homotopy algebras over certain simplicial algebraic theories. This notion interpolates between infinite loop spaces and loop spaces, but backwards. Then, the relation to ordinary nilpotent groups is studied. It is proved that $n$-excisive functors of the form $\Omega(F)$ factor over the category of homotopy $n$-nilpotent groups.

Kaji and Kishimoto [26] consider the problem: how far from being homotopy commutative is a loop space having the homotopy type of the $p$-completion of a product of finite numbers of spheres? They determine the homotopy nilpotency of those loop spaces as an answer to this problem.

We aim to review in this survey paper known and state some new results on the homotopy nilpotency and co-nilpotency of spaces. In Section 1, we set stages for developments to come. This introductory section is devoted to a general discussion and establishes notations on the homotopy nilpotency (resp. co-nilpotency) of $H$-spaces (resp. co-$H$-spaces) used in the rest of the paper.

Sections 2 and 3 make use of [5, 14, 17] to present know and state some new results on the homotopy nilpotency and co-nilpotency of spaces. Finally, Section 4, based mainly on [16], takes up the systematic study of the homotopy nilpotency of homogeneous spaces $G/K$ for a Lie group $G$ and its closed subgroup $K < G$. The homotopy nilpotency of the loop spaces $\Omega(G_{n,m}(\mathbb{K}))$, $\Omega(F_{n; n_1, \ldots, n_k}(\mathbb{K}))$, and $\Omega(V_{n,m}(\mathbb{K}))$ of Grassmann $G_{n,m}(\mathbb{K})$, flag $F_{n; n_1, \ldots, n_k}(\mathbb{K})$ and Stiefel $V_{n,m}(\mathbb{K})$ manifolds for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$, the field of reals or complex numbers and $\mathbb{H}$, the skew $\mathbb{R}$-algebra of quaternions is studied. In particular, the homotopy nilpotency nil $\Omega(\mathbb{H}P^n) < \infty$ is shown for the quaternionic projective space $\mathbb{H}P^n$ with $n \geq 1$ which does not appear in the literature known to the author.
1. PREREQUISITES

All spaces and maps in this note are assumed to be connected and based with the homotopy type of \( CW \)-complexes unless we assume otherwise. We also do not distinguish notationally between a continuous map and its homotopy class. We write \( \Omega(X) \) (resp. \( \Sigma(X) \)) for the loop (resp. suspension) space on a space \( X \) and \([X,Y]\) for the set of homotopy classes of maps \( X \to Y \).

Given a space \( X \), we use the customary notations \( X \lor X \) and \( X \wedge X \) for the wedge and the smash square of \( X \), respectively.

Let us recall that an \( H \)-space is a pair \((X, \mu)\), where \( X \) is a space and \( \mu : X \times X \to X \) is a map such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X \times X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X \\
\uparrow \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X \lor X & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & X
\end{array}
\]

commutes up to homotopy, where \( \nabla : X \lor X \to X \) is the folding map. We call \( \mu \) a multiplication or an \( H \)-structure for \( X \). Two examples of \( H \)-spaces come in mind: topological groups and the spaces \( \Omega(X) \) of loops on \( X \). In the sequel, we identify an \( H \)-space \((X, \mu)\) with the space \( X \).

Dually, recall that a co-\( H \)-space is a pair \((X', \mu')\), where \( X' \) is a space and \( \mu' : X' \to X' \lor X' \) is a map such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X' & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & X' \lor X' \\
\downarrow \Delta & & \downarrow \\
X' \wedge X' & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & X' \times X'
\end{array}
\]

commutes up to homotopy, where \( \Delta : X' \to X' \times X' \) is the diagonal map.

We call \( \mu' \) a co-multiplication or a co-\( H \)-structure for \( X' \). Two examples of co-\( H \)-spaces come in mind: Moore space \( M(A,n) \) of type \((A,n)\) for an Abelian group \( A \) and \( n \geq 2 \), and the suspension spaces \( \Sigma(X) \). In the sequel, we identify an co-\( H \)-space \((X', \mu')\) with the space \( X' \).

An \( H \)-space \( X \) (resp. a co-\( H \)-space \( X' \)) is called a group-like space (resp. co-group-like space) if \( X \) (resp. \( X' \)) satisfies all the axioms of groups (resp. co-group) up to homotopy. Recall that a homotopy associative an \( H \)-\( CW \)-complex (resp. a 1-connected homotopy co-associative a co-\( H \)-\( CW \)-complex) always has a homotopy inverse (resp. co-inverse). More precisely, according to [42, 1.3.2. Corollary] (see also [2, Proposition 8.4.4]), we have:

**Proposition 1.1.**  (1) If \( X \) is a homotopy associative \( H \)-\( CW \)-complex then \( X \) is a group-like space.
(2) If $X'$ is a 1-connected homotopy co-associative co-$H$-CW-complex then $X'$ is a co-group-like space.

From now on, we assume that any $H$-space $X$ (resp. co-$H$-space $X'$) is group-like (resp. co-group like).

Given spaces $X_1, \ldots, X_n$, we use the customary notations $X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ and $X_1 \vee \cdots \vee X_n \subseteq X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ for their Cartesian and wedge products of $X_1, \ldots, X_n$, respectively. We also write $X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_n$ for their smash product.

Let $f_m : (X_m, \ast_m) \to (Y_m, \ast_m)$ be continuous maps of pointed topological spaces for $m = 1, \ldots, n$. The map 
\[ f_1 \times \cdots \times f_n : (X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n, (\ast_1, \ldots, \ast_n)) \to (Y_1 \times \cdots \times Y_n, (\ast_1, \ldots, \ast_n)) \]
sends the point $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ to $(f_1(x_1), \ldots, f_n(x_n))$. We write 
\[ j_n(X) : X_1 \vee \cdots \vee X_n \to X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n, \]
\[ f_1 \vee \cdots \vee f_n : X_1 \vee \cdots \vee X_n \to Y_1 \vee \cdots \vee Y_n \]
for the inclusion map and the restriction of $f_1 \times \cdots \times f_n$ to the wedge product $X_1 \vee \cdots \vee X_n$, respectively. If $X_m = X$ and $f_m = f$ for $m = 1, \ldots, n$ then we write 
\[ X^n = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n, \quad nX = X_1 \vee \cdots \vee X_n, \quad X^{\wedge n} = X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_n, \]
\[ f^n = f_1 \times \cdots \times f_n, \quad n f = f_1 \vee \cdots \vee f_n. \]

The diagonal map $\Delta : X \to X^n$ is defined by $\Delta(x) = (x, \ldots, x)$ and the folding map $\nabla : nX \to X$ by 
\[ \nabla(\ast_1, \ldots, \ast_{m-1}, x, \ast_{m+1}, \ldots, \ast_n) = x \]
for $x \in X$ and $m = 1, \ldots, n$. The identity map of a space $X$ involved is consistently denoted by $\iota_X$.

Given an $H$-space $X$ and a co-$H$-space $X'$, the functors $[-, X]$ and $[X', -]$ takes its values in the category of groups. One may then ask when those functors take their values in various subcategories of groups. For example, $X$ (resp. $X'$) is homotopy commutative (resp. co-commutative) if and only if $[Y, X]$ (resp. $[X', Y]$) is Abelian for all $Y$.

For an $H$-space $X$, we write 
\[ \varphi_{1,X} = \iota_X, \quad \varphi_{2,X} : X^2 \to X \]
for the basic commutator map and 
\[ \varphi_{n+1,X} = \varphi_{2,X} \circ (\varphi_{n,X} \times \iota_X) \]
for $n \geq 2$. 
The nilpotency class \( \text{nil} X \) of an \( H \)-space \( X \) is the least integer \( n \geq 0 \) for which the map \( \varphi_{n+1,X} \simeq * \) is nullhomotopic and call the homotopy associative \( H \)-space \( X \) homotopy nilpotent. If no such, integer exists, we put \( \text{nil} X = \infty \). Thus, \( \text{nil} X = 0 \) if and only if \( X \) is contractible and, as is easily seen, \( \text{nil} X \leq 1 \) if and only if \( X \) is homotopy commutative.

The set \( \pi_0(X) \) of all path-components of an \( H \)-space \( X \) is known to be a group. The following result is easy to prove:

**Lemma 1.2.** If \( X \) is an \( H \)-space and the path component of the base-point \( * \in X \) is contractible, then \( \text{nil} \pi_0(X) = \text{nil} X \).

Dually, for a co-\( H \)-space \( X' \) we write

\[ \psi_{1,X'} = \iota_{X'}, \quad \psi_{2,X'} : X' \to 2X' \]

for the basic co-commutator map and

\[ \psi_{n+1,X'} = (\psi_{n,X'} \vee \iota_X) \circ \psi_{2,X'} \]

for \( n \geq 2 \). The co-nilpotency class \( \text{co-nil} X' \) of a co-\( H \)-space is the least integer \( n \geq 0 \) for which the map \( \psi_{n+1,X'} \simeq * \) is nullhomotopic and call the homotopy co-associative co-\( H \)-space \( X' \) homotopy co-nilpotent. If no such integer exists, we put \( \text{co-nil} X' = \infty \).

The definitions of the nilpotency and co-nilpotency classes may be extended to maps. The nilpotency class \( \text{nil} f \) of an \( H \)-map \( f : X_1 \to X_2 \) is the least integer \( n \geq 0 \) for which the map \( f \circ \varphi_{n+1,X} : X_1^{n+1} \to X_2 \) is nullhomotopic. If no such integer exists, we put \( \text{nil} f = \infty \).

The co-nilpotency class \( \text{co-nil} g \) of a co-\( H \)-map \( g : X_1' \to X_2' \) is the least integer \( n \geq 0 \) for which the map \( \psi_{n+1,X_2'} \circ g : X_1' \to X_2' \) is nullhomotopic. If no such integer exists, we put \( \text{co-nil} g = \infty \).

In the sequel we need:

**Lemma 1.3.**

1. If \( X \) is an \( H \)-space then the composite map

\[
\xymatrix{nX \ar[r]^{j_n(X)} & X^n \ar[r]^{\varphi_{n,X}} & X}
\]

is nullhomotopic.

2. If \( X' \) is a co-\( H \)-space then the composite map

\[
\xymatrix{X'^n \ar[r]^{j_n(X')} & nX' \ar[r]^{\psi_{n,X'}} & X'}
\]

is nullhomotopic.

Notice that \( X^{\wedge n} \) is the homotopy cofiber (the \( n \)-th smash power of \( X \)) of \( j_n(X) : nX \to X^n \) and write \( \flat n X' \) for the homotopy fiber (the \( n \)-th flat power of \( X' \)) of \( j_n(X') : nX' \to X'^n \). Then, the result above implies an
existence of maps
\[ \bar{\bar{\varphi}}_{n,X} : X^{\wedge n} \to X \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\bar{\psi}}_{n,X'} : X' \to \pi^{n} X'. \]

Recall that \( \pi^{n} X' \cong \ast^{n} \Omega(X') \), the \( n \)-th join power of \( \Omega(X') \). Then, by [31, Corollary, p. 135], we have
\[ \pi^{n} X' \cong \Sigma^{n-1} \Omega(X')^{\wedge n}. \]

Notice that [1, Corollary 4.3], [16, Lemma 1.2], and [19, Corollary 1] lead to:

**Lemma 1.4.**

1. If \( X \) is a group-like CW-space and \( Y \) a finite dimensional CW-complex with \( \dim Y = n \) then the group \([Y, X]\) is nilpotent with the nilpotency class at most \( n \).

2. If \( X' \) is a 1-connected co-group-like CW-space and \( Y \) is a CW-complex with an \( n \)-stage Postnikov system then the group \([X', Y]\) is nilpotent with the nilpotency class at most \( n \).

**Proof.** (1) First, recall that given an \( H \)-CW-space \( X \), in view of [25], all its \( m \)-th Postnikov stages \( P_{m}X \) are also an \( H \)-space and the canonical maps \( X \to P_{m}X \) are \( H \)-maps for \( m \geq 1 \). Since the map \( X \to P_{n}X \) is an \((n-1)\)-homotopy equivalence and \( Y \) is a CW-complex with \( \dim Y = n \), there is an isomorphism \([Y, X] \cong [Y, P_{n}X] \) of groups determined by the map \( X \to P_{n}X \). Then, the map
\[ \bar{\bar{\varphi}}_{P_{n}X, n+1}(f_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge f_{n+1}) : Y^{\wedge (n+1)} \to P_{n}X \]

is homotopy trivial for any maps \( f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n+1} : Y \to P_{n}X \) since the space \( Y^{\wedge (n+1)} \) is \( n \)-connected. Consequently, \( \text{nil} [Y, X] = \text{nil} [Y, P_{n}X] \leq n \).

(2) We dualize *mutatis mutandis* the proof of (1) as follows. Given a 1-connected co-\( H \)-CW-space \( X' \), in view of [1, Corollary 4.3] and [19, Corollary 1], all its \( m \)-th homology decomposition stages \( P_{m}X' \) are also co-\( H \)-spaces and the canonical maps \( P_{m}X' \to X' \) are co-\( H \)-maps for \( m \geq 2 \).

Next, the map \( P_{n}X' \to X' \) is an \((n-1)\)-homology equivalence, so it is an \((n-1)\)-cohomology equivalence as well. Since, \( X' \) is a CW-space, we deduce that induced maps
\[ [X', K(\pi_{m}(Y), m)] \cong H^{m}(X', \pi_{m}(Y)) \to [P_{n}X', K(\pi_{m}(Y), m)] \cong H^{m}(X', \pi_{m}(Y)) \]

are isomorphisms for \( m \leq n-1 \), where \( K(\pi, m) \) is the Eileberg-MacLane space of type \((\pi, m)\). Hence, for a \( CW \)-complex \( Y \) with an \( n \)-stage Postnikov system, there is an isomorphism \([P_{n}X', Y] \cong [X', Y] \) of groups determined by the canonical map \( P_{n}X' \to X' \). Then, the map
\[ \bar{\bar{\psi}}_{P_{n}X', n+1}(g_{1}b \cdots bg_{n+1}) : P_{n}X' \to \pi^{n+1} Y \]
is homotopy trivial for any maps \( g_1, \ldots, g_{n+1} : P'_n(X') \rightarrow Y \) since the space 
\[ b(n+1)Y \simeq \Sigma^{n-1}\Omega(Y)^n \]
is \((n-1)\)-connected. Consequently, \( \text{nil } [X', Y] = \text{nil } [P'_nX', Y] \leq n \) and the proof follows. \( \square \)

Next, any\( CW\)-complex \( Y \) can be expressed as 
\[ Y = \lim_{\rightarrow} Y_{\alpha}, \]
where \( Y_{\alpha} \) are finite \( CW\)-complexes. Given a group-like space \( X \), this leads to the short exact sequence 
\[ 1 \rightarrow \lim^1[\Sigma Y_{\alpha}, X] \rightarrow [Y, X] \rightarrow \lim[Y_{\alpha}, X] \rightarrow 1, \]
where the group \( \lim[Y_{\alpha}, X] \), by means of Lemma 1.4(1), is visibly pro-nilpotent. By the proof of [22, Proposition 1.2], the intersection 
\[ \Gamma_d \cap (\lim^1[\Sigma Y_{\alpha}, X]) = 0 \]
for \( \text{dim } X = d < \infty \), where \( \Gamma_d \) stands for the \( d \)-th member of the lower central series of the group \( [Y, X] \). This leads, by means of [22, Proposition 1.1], to pro-nilpotency of the group \( [Y, X] \) provided \( X \) is a finite group-like \( CW\)-space.

Dually, given a co-group like \( CW\)-space \( X' \) with \( \text{dim } X' = d < \infty \), we get that the group isomorphism \( [X', Y] \approx [X', P_dY] \) for any \( CW\)-complex \( Y \) for the \( d \)-th Postnikov stage \( P_dY \) of \( Y \).

Thus, in view of Lemma 1.4, we obtain:

**Proposition 1.5.** (1) If \( X \) is a finite group-like \( CW\)-space then the group \( [Y, X] \) is pro-nilpotent for any \( CW\)-complex \( Y \).

(2) If \( X' \) is a 1-connected finite dimensional co-group-like \( CW\)-space then the group \( [X', Y] \) is nilpotent for any \( CW\)-complex \( Y \).

Notice that there is the short exact sequence 
\[ 1 \rightarrow \lim^1[X', \Omega P_nY] \rightarrow [X', Y] \rightarrow \lim[X', P_nY] \rightarrow 1 \]
for any co-group-like space \( X' \) and a \( CW\)-space \( Y \). If \( \text{dim } X' = d < \infty \) then there is a group isomorphism \( \lim[X', P_nY] \approx [X', P_dY] \) and the group isomorphism \( [X', Y] \approx [X', P_dY] \) leads to the byproduct 
\[ \lim^1[X', \Omega P_nY] = 0. \]

On the other hand, this result is a consequence of the Mittag-Leffler condition as well.
It is well known that the quotient map $X^n \to X^\wedge n$ has a right homotopy inverse after suspending, and the fact that $X$ is an $H$-space means that the suspension map $[Y, X] \to [\Sigma(Y), \Sigma(X)]$ is a monomorphism for any space $Y$. A dual remark might be stated on co-$H$-spaces as well. Thus, we may state:

**Proposition 1.6.** Let $X$ be an $H$-space and $X'$ a co-$H$-space. Then:

1. $\varphi_n, X \simeq *$ if and only if $\bar{\varphi}_n, X \simeq *$;
2. $\psi_n, X' \simeq *$ if and only if $\bar{\psi}_n, X \simeq *$.

We point out that details of the proof of Proposition 1.6(1) are presented in [17, Theorem 2.1]. Whereas, the proof of Proposition 1.6(2) follows from the dual version of [17, Theorem 2.1].

Then, [5, 2.7. Theorem] and Proposition 1.6 lead to:

**Theorem 1.7.** (1) If $X$ is an $H$-space then

$$\text{nil} X = \sup_m \text{nil} [X^m, X] = \sup_m \text{nil} [X^\wedge m, X] = \sup_Y \text{nil} [Y, X],$$

where $m$ ranges over all integers and $Y$ over all topological spaces.

(2) If $X'$ is a co-$H$-space then

$$\text{co-nil} X' = \sup_m \text{nil} [X', X''] = \sup_m \text{nil} [X', b^n X'] = \sup_Y \text{nil} [X', Y],$$

where $m$ ranges over all integers and $Y$ over all topological spaces.

Furthermore, in view of [42, Lemma 2.6.1], we may state:

**Corollary 1.8.** (1) A connected $H$-space $X$ is homotopy nilpotent if and only if the functor $[-, X]$ on the category of all spaces is nilpotent group valued.

(2) A connected co-$H$-space $X'$ is homotopy nilpotent if and only if the functor $[X', -]$ on the category of all spaces is nilpotent group valued.

**Proof.** (1) Certainly, the homotopy nilpotency of a connected associative $H$-space $X$ implies that the functor $[-, X]$ on the category of all pointed spaces is nilpotent group valued.

Now, suppose that the functor $[-, X]$ is nilpotent group valued and $\text{nil} [\prod_1^\infty X, X] < n$. Then, for the projection map $\prod_1^\infty X \to X^n$ on the first $n$ factors, the composite map

$$\prod_1^\infty X \to X^n \xrightarrow{\varphi_n, X} X$$

is null-homotopic. Since, the projection $\prod_1^\infty X \to X^n$ is a retraction, we deduce that the map $\varphi_n, X : X^n \to X$ is also null-homotopic.
(2) It goes *mutatis mutandis* dually to (1) and the proof is complete. □

**Example 1.9.** (1) Certainly, \( \text{nil} \mathbb{S}^1 = 1 \) and, in view of [30], we have \( \text{nil} \mathbb{S}^3 = 3 \);

(2) \( \text{co-nil} \mathbb{S}^1 = \sup_m \text{nil} [\mathbb{S}^1, m\mathbb{S}^1] = \infty \) since \([\mathbb{S}^1, m\mathbb{S}^1]\) is the free group with rank \( m \geq 1 \);

(3) recall that by [6], for any co-associative co-\( H \)-space \( X' \) there exists a homotopy equivalence \( X' \simeq Y \vee S \), where \( Y \) is 1-connected and \( S \) is a wedge of circles or a point. Now, if \( X' \) is non-simply connected then:

(a) for \( S = \mathbb{S}^1 \), the obvious surjection \( [X', X' \vee X'] \to [\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{S}^1 \vee \mathbb{S}^1] \) which is a group homomorphism implies that the group \([X', X' \vee X']\) is non-nilpotent;

(b) \( S = n \mathbb{S}^1 \) with \( n \geq 2 \) the obvious surjection \( [X', X'] \to [\mathbb{S}^1, S] \) which is a group homomorphism implies that the group \([X', X']\) is non-nilpotent.

Consequently, by Theorem 1.7(2), we have \( \text{co-nil} X' = \infty \) for any non-simply connected co-associative co-\( H \)-space \( X' \).

2. **Properties of the Homotopy Nilpotency**

We make mainly use of [5, 14, 17] to present know and state some new results on the homotopy nilpotency of loop spaces.

With any based space \( X \), we associate the integer \( \text{nil} \Omega(X) \) (respectively \( \text{co-nil} \Sigma(X) \)) called the *homotopy nilpotency* (resp. *co-nilpotency*) class of \( X \).

Evidently, \( \text{nil} \pi_1(X) \leq \text{nil} \Omega(X) \). We give an extension of this result involving Whitehead products, generally denoted by \([\alpha_1, \alpha_2] \in \pi_{m_1+m_2-1}(X)\) if \( \alpha_i \in \pi_{m_i}(X) \) for \( m_i \geq 1 \) with \( i = 1, 2 \).

We define \((n+1)\)-fold Whitehead products \([\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1}]\) as

\[[[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n], \alpha_{n+1}]\]

if \( \alpha_i \in \pi_{m_i}(X) \) for \( m_i \geq 1 \) with \( i = 1, \ldots, n+1 \) agreeing that, for \( n = 0 \), \([\alpha] = \alpha\).

Recall that W-length \( X \), the *Whitehead length* of a space \( X \) is the least integer \( n \geq 0 \) such that \([\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1}] = 0 \) for all \( \alpha_i \in \pi_{m_i}(X) \), \( m_i \geq 1 \). If no such integer exists, we put W-length \( X = \infty \).

Then, according to [5, 4.6. Theorem], we have:

**Theorem 2.1.** W-length \( X \leq \text{nil} \Omega(X) \).

**Example 2.2.** It is well-known that

\[
\text{W-length} \mathbb{S}^n = \text{nil} \mathbb{S}^n = \begin{cases} 
3, & \text{for } n \text{ even with } n \neq 2, \\
2, & \text{for } n \text{ odd with } n \neq 1, 3, 7 \text{ or } n = 2, \\
1, & \text{for } n = 1, 3, 7.
\end{cases}
\]
Given a path-connected space \( X \), the action of \( \pi_1(X) \) on \( \pi_n(X) \) for \( n \geq 1 \) may be written in terms of Whitehead products. Then, by Theorem 2.1, the space \( X \) is nilpotent if \( \Omega(X) \) is homotopy nilpotent.

We now proceed to find upper bounds for \( \text{nil} \Omega(X) \). First, let \( X \) be a connected aspherical \( CW \)-complex. Then \( \pi_m(X) = 0 \) for all \( m > 1 \). Therefore, Lemma 1.2 yields:

**Proposition 2.3.** If \( X \) is a connected aspherical \( CW \)-complex, then

\[
\text{nil} \pi_1(X) = \text{nil} \Omega(X).
\]

For further reference, we state the easily proved:

**Lemma 2.4.** \( \text{nil} (X_1 \times X_2) = \max\{\text{nil} X_1, \text{nil} X_2\} \).

Recall that a space \( X \) is said to dominate a space \( Y \) provided there are continuous mappings \( f : X \to Y \) and \( g : Y \to X \) such that \( f \circ g \) is homotopic to the identity mapping \( \iota_Y \).

Next, in view of [14], the co-category, co-cat \( X \), of a topological space \( X \) is a (possibly infinite) strictly positive integer as given by: co-cat \( X = 1 \) if and only if \( X \) is contractible.

Let \( n \geq 1 \) be given and suppose the phrase co-cat \( Y = m \) has been defined for any space \( Y \) and all integers \( m \) satisfying \( 1 \leq m \leq n \); then, co-cat \( X = n + 1 \) provided:

(i) co-cat \( X \neq m \) for any \( m \) satisfying \( 1 \leq m \leq n \), and

(ii) there exists a fibration \( Q \to Y \to B \) such that \( Q \) dominates \( X \) and co-cat \( Y = n \).

If co-cat \( X \neq n \) for all \( n \geq 1 \), we put co-cat \( X = \infty \).

Then, by [14, Theorem 2.12], we have:

**Theorem 2.5.** \( \text{nil} \Omega(X) \leq \text{co-cat} X - 1 \).

We notice that applying the principal refinement of the Postnikov system of a path-connected nilpotent space, [5, 4.11. Theorem] yields:

**Theorem 2.6.** Let \( X \) be a path-connected nilpotent \( CW \)-complex. Suppose the invariants \( k^{n+2}(X) \) of a Postnikov system for \( X \) are trivial for almost all values of \( n \). Then \( \text{nil} \Omega(X) < \infty \).

In particular, if \( X \) is a path-connected nilpotent \( CW \)-complex with a finite Postnikov system then \( \text{nil} \Omega(X) < \infty \).

**Corollary 2.7.** Let \( X \) be a path-connected nilpotent \( CW \)-complex.

(i) If \( \pi_n(X) = 0 \) for almost all values of \( n \), then \( \text{nil} \Omega(X) < \infty \);
(ii) if the Postnikov invariants \( k^{n+2}(X) \) vanish for almost all values of \( n \) then W-length \( X < \infty \).

Consequently, Theorems 2.1 and 2.5 yield

\[
W\text{-length } X \leq \text{nil } \Omega(X) \leq \text{co-cat } X - 1.
\]

Notice that Theorem 2.5 leads to the following dual version of Whitehead's [39] result (cf. Lemma 1.4(2)):

**Corollary 2.8.** If \( Y \) is a space with co-cat \( Y \leq n \) then the nilpotency \( \text{nil } [X', Y] \leq n - 1 \) for any co-group-like space \( X' \).

**Proof.** Recall that, by [15, Theorem 2.2], for any co-group-like space \( X' \) there is a decomposition via co-H-map (up to homotopy) of the identity map \( \iota_{X'} \) as \( X' \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega X' \rightarrow X' \). Hence, we may consider the group \( [X', Y] \) as a subgroup of \( [\Sigma \Omega X', Y] \). From Theorem 2.5, we deduce that the nilpotency of \( [X', Y] \) is less than or equal to \( \text{nil } \Omega(Y) \leq \text{co-cat } Y - 1 = n - 1 \) and the proof follows. \( \square \)

In particular, we deduce that \( \text{nil } [X, \Omega(Y)] = \text{nil } [\Sigma(X), Y] \leq n - 1 \) for any spaces \( X, Y \) provided co-cat \( Y \leq n \).

**Example 2.9.** (1) It is well-known that

\[
\text{nil } \Omega(S^n) = \begin{cases} 
3, & \text{for } n \text{ even with } n \neq 2, \\
2, & \text{for } n \text{ odd with } n \neq 1, 3, 7 \text{ or } n = 2, \\
1, & \text{for } n = 1, 3, 7.
\end{cases}
\]

(2) For the wedge \( S^m \vee S^n \) of two spheres with \( m, n \geq 2 \), there is an iterated nontrivial Whitehead product of any weight. Therefore, we conclude that

\[
\text{nil } \Omega(S^m \vee S^n) = \infty.
\]

Hence, not every space \( \Omega(X) \) is homotopy nilpotent if \( X \) is nilpotent or even simply connected.

We close this section by reviewing some recent works related to the subject above.

The Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of a space \( X \), LS-cat \( X \) is the minimal number of open sets needed to cover \( X \) which are contractible in \( X \). This was originally defined for manifolds, and is a lower bound for the number of critical points of a function on \( X \). The definition was broadened to arbitrary spaces, and later definitions include an inductive version, ind LS-cat by Ganea [14], and symmetric version, sym LS-cat, by Hopkins [21].
Co-category is much less well understood than category. The first attempt to define co-category was made by Ganea [14]. Different possible definitions of co-category exist depending on which feature of the classical LS-category is dualized.

Hopkins [21] presents new formulations of category and co-category closer in spirit to the original definition of category [14]. One byproduct of these formulations is a new characterization of iterated loop spaces and a dual characterization of iterated suspensions.

Eldred [12] uses constructions in Goodwillie’s calculus of homotopy functors to reformulate Hopkins’s definition [21] of symmetric LS-cocat and applies to spaces determined by functors associated to reduced homotopy endofunctors of spaces. Her result is concluded by the following inequalities

\[ W\text{-length } X \leq \text{nil } X \leq \text{ind LS-cocat } X \leq \text{sym LS-cocat } X. \]

Hovey [23] introduces a new definition which of a co-category. Co-category has some advantages over the previous two definitions. It dualizes Whitehead’s definition of category, so it is defined by a map making a suitable diagram commute.

Murillo and Viruel [29] present a new approach to the Lusternik-Schnirelmann co-category of a space. This approach is based on a dual of the Whitehead definition of category. Using this new definition they are able to prove all the classical properties satisfied by the original Ganea’s concept of co-category.

Yau [41] introduces Clapp-Puppe type generalized Lusternik-Schnirelmann co-category in a Quillen model category and establishes some of their basic properties and give various characterizations of them. As the application of these characterizations, it is shown that the generalized co-category is invariant under Quillen modelization equivalences. In particular, generalized co-category of spaces and simplicial sets coincide. Another application of these characterizations is to define and study rational co-category.

3. PROPERTIES OF THE HOMOTOPY CO-NILPOTENCY

We make mainly use of [5, 14] to present know and state some new results on the homotopy co-nilpotency of suspension spaces.

First, notice that a dual version of [5, 4.11. Theorem] might be stated for homology decomposition (see e.g., [20, Chapter 8]) as follows:

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( X \) be a 1-connected CW-complex with a finitely generated integral singular homology group \( H_2(X) \). Suppose the dual Postnikov invariants \( k'_{n+2}(X) \) of a homology decomposition of \( X \) are trivial for almost all values of \( n \). Then \( \text{nil } \Sigma(X) < \infty \).
In particular, if $X$ is a 1-connected CW-complex with a finitely generated integral singular homology group $H_2(X)$ and a finite homology decomposition system then $\text{co-nil } \Sigma(X) < \infty$.

Now, recall that $\cup$-length $Y$ of a space $Y$ is the least integer $n \geq 0$ such that, for any commutative coefficient field $K$, the cup product of any $(n+1)$ singular cohomology $H^*(Y, K)$ classes of positive dimension vanishes. If no such integer exists, we put $\cup$-length $Y = \infty$.

Then, [5, 5.8. Theorem] states:

**Theorem 3.2.** If $Y$ is a path-connected space then

$$\cup \text{-length } Y \leq \text{co-nil } \Sigma(Y).$$

Given a space $X$, by Hilton [5, Definition 6.6], $w$-cat $Y$, the weak category of $Y$ is the least integer $n \geq 1$ such that the composition map

$$Y \xrightarrow{\Delta} X^n \xrightarrow{g_n} Y \wedge n$$

is nullhomotopic. If no such integer exists, $w$-cat $Y = \infty$.

Recall that the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category $\text{cat } Y$ is the least integer $n \geq 1$ such that the space $X$ may be covered by $n$ open subsets which are contractible in $Y$. If no such integer exists, $\text{cat } Y = \infty$.

The result [5, Proposition 6.8] states:

**Proposition 3.3.** If $Y$ is a connected normal well-pointed space then

$$w \text{-cat } Y \leq \text{cat } Y.$$

Now, let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the class of all well-pointed $H$-spaces. Certainly, given a space $Y$, the mapping space $X^Y$ is an $H$-space for any $X \in \mathcal{H}$. Then, [5, 6.10. Theorem] states:

**Proposition 3.4.** If $Y$ is a well-pointed Hausdorff space then

$$\sup \{ \text{nil } X^Y \mid X \in \mathcal{H} \} \leq w \text{-cat } Y.$$

If $Y$ is a well-pointed space, so does $^mY$ for any $m \geq 1$ and $\Omega(Y) \in \mathcal{H}$. It follows from [32, Satz 17 and (33)] that $\Sigma(\^mY)$ is also well-pointed and this implies that $\Omega \Sigma(\^mY) \in \mathcal{H}$ for any $m \geq 1$. Then, the result below stated in [5, 6.11. Theorem] follows from Theorem 1.7:

**Proposition 3.5.** If $Y$ is a well-pointed space then

$$\text{co-nil } \Sigma(Y) \leq \sup \{ \text{nil } [Y, X] \mid X \in \mathcal{H} \}.$$

The result above yields:
Corollary 3.6. If $Y$ is a path-connected well-pointed normal Hausdorff space then

\[ \cup\text{-length } Y \leq \sup \{ \text{nil } [Y, X] \mid X \in \mathcal{H} \} \leq \sup \{ \text{nil } X^Y \mid X \in \mathcal{H} \} \leq \text{cat } Y - 1. \]

Furthermore, from Propositions 3.4 and 3.5 we get an upper bound for co-nil $\Sigma(Y)$:

Corollary 3.7. If $Y$ is a well-pointed Hausdorff space then

\[ \text{co-nil } \Sigma(Y) \leq \text{w-cat } Y - 1 \leq \text{cat } Y - 1. \]

Summarizing the above, we get:

Theorem 3.8. If $Y$ is a well-pointed path-connected normal space then

\[ \cup\text{-length } Y \leq \text{co-nil } \Sigma(Y) \leq \text{w-cat } X - 1 \leq \text{cat } Y - 1. \]

Notice that Theorem 3.8 leads to the following version of Whitehead's [39] result (cf. Lemma 1.4(1)):

Corollary 3.9. If $Y$ is a well-pointed path-connected normal space with $\text{cat } Y \leq n$, then the nilpotency $\text{nil } [Y, X] \leq n - 1$ for any group-like space $X$.

Proof. Recall that for any group-like space $X$, there is a decomposition via $H$-maps (up to homotopy), of the identity map $\iota_X$ as $X \to \Omega \Sigma(X) \to X$. Hence, we may consider the group $[Y, X]$ as a subgroup of $[Y, \Omega \Sigma(X)]$. From Theorem 3.8, we deduce that the nilpotency of $[Y, X]$ is less than or equal to co-nil $\Sigma(Y) \leq \text{cat } Y - 1 = n - 1$ and the proof follows.

In particular, we get that $\text{nil } [Y, \Omega(X)] = \text{nil } [\Sigma(Y), X] \leq n - 1$ for any spaces $X, Y$ provided $Y$ is a well-pointed 0-connected normal space with $\text{cat } Y \leq n$.

We conclude this section by giving some examples.

Example 3.10. (1) co-nil $S^n = 1$ since the sphere $S^n$ is co-commutative co-$H$-space provided $n \geq 2$.

(2) If $A$ is an Abelian group and $M(A, n)$ the Moore space of type $(A, n)$ with $n \geq 3$ then $M(A, n) \simeq \Sigma^2(M(A, n - 2))$. Hence, co-nil $M(A, n) = 1$.

If $A$ is a finitely generated then

\[ A \simeq \mathbb{Z}^k \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_l} \]

for some $k, l \geq 0$ with $\mathbb{Z}_m$ the cyclic group of order $m$. Then the Moore space

\[ M(A, 2) \simeq \bigvee^k (S^n) \vee M(\mathbb{Z}_{m_1}, 2) \vee \cdots \vee M(\mathbb{Z}_{m_l}, 2) \]
and \( \text{cat } M(A, 2) < \infty \) implies, by means of Corollary 3.7, that
\[
\text{co-nil } M(A, 2) \leq \text{cat } M(A, 2) - 1 < \infty.
\]

In view of [3, Proposition 13], the space \( M(\mathbb{Z}_m, 2) \) admits \( m \) distinct co-
\( H \)-structures and by [3, Corollary 16], any two co-
\( H \)-structures on \( M(\mathbb{Z}_m, 2) \) are equivalent. Consequently, all co-
\( H \)-structures on \( M(\mathbb{Z}_m, 2) \) are associative. If \( m \) is odd, all co-
\( H \)-structures on \( M(\mathbb{Z}_m, 2) \) are co-commutative and so
\[
\text{co-nil } M(\mathbb{Z}_m, 2) = 1.
\]

If \( m \) is even, no co-
\( H \)-structures on \( M(\mathbb{Z}_m, 2) \) is co-commutative. But, \( M(\mathbb{Z}_m, 2) = \Sigma(S^1 \cup_m S^1) \) with \( m : S^1 \to S^1 \) the map of degree \( m \). Since
\[
\text{cat } (S^1 \cup_m S^1) = 3,
\]
by means of Corollary 3.7, we get that
\[
\text{co-nil } M(\mathbb{Z}_m, 2) = 2.
\]

4. THE HOMOTOPY NILPOTENCY OF SOME HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

We make mainly use of [16] to review the homotopy nilpotency \( \Omega(G/K) \)
of loop spaces for some Lie groups \( G \) and their closed subgroups \( K < G \).

The homotopy nilpotency of \( H \)-spaces has been extensively studied as
well as the homotopy commutativity. The first major advance was made
by Hopkins [22, Theorem 2.1] and completed by Rao [34, Theorem 0.2]. He
showed that a finite \( H \)-space \( X \) is homotopy nilpotent if and only if for suf-
ficiently large \( n \), \( \varphi_{X,n} \)'s induce trivial homomorphism in complex bordism.
This is the same as asking that \( \varphi_{X,n} \)'s induce trivial homomorphisms in all
Morava \( K \)-theories. We point out that [22, Theorem 2.1] has been proved
by reducing the problem to one in stable homotopy theory and applying
the nilpotence theorem [11]. Then, in [22, Corollary 2.2], it was deduced:

**Corollary 4.1.** If \( X \) is a finite associative \( H \)-space and the homology
\( H_*(X, \mathbb{Z}) \) is torsion free then \( X \) is homotopy nilpotent.

This corollary implies:
\[
\text{nil } U(n) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \text{nil } Sp(n) < \infty.
\]

Because of \( H \)-homotopy equivalences
\[
O(n) \simeq SO(n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad U(n) \simeq SU(n) \times S^1,
\]
we derive:
\[
\text{nil } SO(n) = \text{nil } O(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{nil } SU(n) = \text{nil } U(n) < \infty.
\]

Next, write
\[
O = \lim_{\to} O(n), \quad U = \lim_{\to} U(n), \quad Sp = \lim_{\to} Sp(n).
\]
Then, notice that by Bott periodicity theorem:
\[ \Omega^8(O) \simeq O, \quad \Omega^2(U) \simeq U, \quad \Omega^8(Sp) \simeq Sp, \]
we get
\[ \text{nil } O = \text{nil } U = \text{nil } Sp = 1. \]
But, the classical homotopy nilpotency does not capture the algebraic nilpotency of a topological group.

**Remark 4.2.** Since the commutators
\[ [SO(3), SO(3)] = SO(3) \quad \text{and} \quad [SU(2), SU(2)] = SU(2) \]
and \( SO(3) \subseteq SO(n) \subseteq O(n) \) for \( n \geq 3 \), \( SU(2) \subseteq SU(n) \subseteq U(n) \) for \( n \geq 2 \) and \( SU(2) = Sp(1) \subseteq Sp(n) \) for \( n \geq 1 \), we derive that the groups:
1. \( SO(n) \) and \( O(n) \) are not nilpotent for \( n \geq 3 \);
2. \( U(n) \) and \( SU(n) \) are not nilpotent for \( n \geq 2 \);
3. \( Sp(n) \) is not nilpotent for \( n \geq 1 \).

Recall that Biedermann and Dwyer setting [7] define homotopy \( n \)-nilpotent groups as homotopy algebras over certain simplicial algebraic theories to study the connection between the Goodwillie tower of the identity and the lower central series of the loop group on connected spaces. This notion interpolates between infinite loop spaces and loop spaces, but backwards. They study the relation to ordinary nilpotent groups and prove that \( n \)-excisive functors of the form \( \Omega(F) \) factor over the category of homotopy \( n \)-nilpotent groups.

Nilpotency for discrete groups can be defined in terms of central extensions. Costoya, Scherer, and Viruel [9] study the analogous definition for spaces in terms of principal fibrations having infinite loop spaces as fibers, yielding a new invariant they compare with classical co-category, but also with the more recent notion of homotopy nilpotency introduced by Biedermann and Dwyer [7]. This allows to characterize finite homotopy nilpotent loop spaces in the spirit of Hubbuck’s Torus Theorem and corresponding results for \( p \)-compact groups and \( p \)-Noetherian groups.

Later, Rao [33] showed that the converse of the criterion from Corollary 4.1 is true in the case of \( Spin(n) \) and \( SO(n) \) by showing that \( Spin(n), SO(n), n \geq 7 \) and \( SO(3), SO(4) \) are not homotopy nilpotent.

Let now \( G_{(p)} \) stand for the \( p \)-localization in the sense of [8] of a compact Lie group \( G \). Then, Yagita [40, Theorem] has shown:

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \( G \) be a simply connected Lie group. Then for each prime \( p \), the \( p \)-localization \( G_{(p)} \) is homotopy nilpotent if and only if the integral cohomology \( H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}) \) has no \( p \)-torsion.
Next, Rao [34, Theorem 0.2] has generalized Theorem 4.3 as follows:

**Theorem 4.4.** Let $G$ be a compact connected Lie group and let $p$ be a prime. Then $G_{(p)}$ is homotopy nilpotent if and only if $H_{*}(G; \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is torsion-free.

Recall that an $H$-map $f : X \to Y$ of $H$-spaces is called central provided
\[ \bar{\varphi}_{2,Y}(f \wedge i_{Y}) \simeq \ast. \]

Then, in view of [42, Lemma 2.6.6], we have:

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $F \overset{i}{\to} E \overset{g}{\to} B$ be an $H$-fibration, i.e., $F \overset{i}{\to} E \overset{g}{\to} B$ is a fibration, $F$, $E$ and $B$ are $H$-spaces and the maps $i : F \to E$, and $g : E \to B$ are $H$-maps.

1. If $\text{nil} \, g \leq n$ and $i : F \to E$ is central then $\text{nil} \, E \leq n + 1$.
2. If $\Omega(Y) \overset{i}{\to} E \overset{g}{\to} X$ is the induced $H$-fibration by an $H$-map $f : X \to Y$ then the map $i : \Omega(Y) \to E$ is central.

If a topological group $G$ acts freely on a paracompact space $X$ then there is a homeomorphism
\[ X/G \simeq X \times_{G} EG, \]
where $G \to EG \to BG$ is the universal principal $G$-bundle.

Since the connecting map $\partial_{X} : \Omega(X/G) \to G$, in view of [36, Theorem 8.6] (see also [18, Corollary 3.4]), is an $H$-map, the fibration
\[ X \to X/G \to EG/G \simeq BG \]
leads to the $H$-fibration
\[ \Omega(X) \longrightarrow \Omega(X/G) \overset{\partial_{X}}{\longrightarrow} G. \]

Now, let $G$ be a compact Lie group and $K < G$ be its closed subgroup. Then the quotient $G/K$ is a manifold and the quotient map $q : G \to G/K$ is a submersion. Hence, $q : G \to G/K$ has a local section at the point $q(e) = K$ for the unit $e \in G$. This certainly implies that $q : G \to G/K$ has a local section at any point $q(g)$ for any $g \in G$. Consequently, the quotient map $q : G \to G/K$ is a fiber bundle with the fiber $K$ as a principal $H$-bundle. Thus, we have an $H$-fibration
\[ \Omega(G) \longrightarrow \Omega(G/K) \overset{\partial_{G}}{\longrightarrow} K. \]

Since this fibration is induced by the inclusion map $K \hookrightarrow G$ which is certainly an $H$-map, Lemma 4.5 yields:

**Proposition 4.6.** If $G$ is a compact Lie group and $K < G$ its closed subgroup with $\text{nil} \, K < \infty$ then $\text{nil} \, \Omega(G/K) < \infty$. 
**Grassmannians.** Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ be the field of reals or complex numbers and $\mathbb{H}$, the skew $\mathbb{R}$-algebra of quaternions. Then, we set:

$$U_\mathbb{K}(n) = \begin{cases} O(n) & \text{if } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \\ U(n) & \text{if } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}, \\ \text{Sp}(n) & \text{if } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{H}, \end{cases}$$

$$SU_\mathbb{K}(n) = \begin{cases} \text{SO}(n) & \text{if } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \\ SU(n) & \text{if } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}, \\ \text{Sp}(n) & \text{if } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{H}. \end{cases}$$

Write $G_{n,m}(\mathbb{K})$ (resp. $G_{n,m}^+(\mathbb{K})$) for the (resp. oriented) Grassmanian of $m$-dimensional subspaces in the $n$-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-vector space. For example, the set of lines $G_{n+1,1}(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K}P^n$ is the projective $n$-space over $\mathbb{K}$.

The homotopy nilpotency of the loop spaces $\Omega(\mathbb{K}P^n)$ has been first studied by Ganea [13], Snaith [35] and then their $p$-localization $\Omega((\mathbb{K}P^n)_{(p)})$ by Meier [28]. Recall that by Ganea [13, Propositions 1.3-1.5] and Snaith [35, Corollaries 2.6 and 2.13], we have:

**Proposition 4.7.** The following statements hold:

1. $\text{nil} \Omega(\mathbb{R}P^{2n+1}) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{for } n \geq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if and only if } n = 0, 1 \text{ or } 3; \end{cases}$
2. $\text{nil} \Omega(\mathbb{R}P^{2n}) = \infty$ for $n \geq 1$;
3. $\text{nil} \Omega(\mathbb{C}P^{2n+1}) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{for any odd } n \geq 1, \\ 1, & \text{if and only if } n = 1; \end{cases}$
4. $4 \leq \text{nil} \Omega(\mathbb{C}P^{2n}) \leq 6$ for $n \geq 1$;
5. $3 \leq \text{nil} \Omega(\mathbb{H}P^n)$ for any $n \geq 1$;
6. $\text{nil} \Omega(\mathbb{H}P^n) = 3$ if $n \equiv -1 \pmod{24}$.

Then, Meier [28, Theorem 5.4] has shown some results on the homotopy nilpotency of $p$-localized projective spaces:

**Theorem 4.8.** Let $p$ be an odd prime and $n \geq 2$ a natural number. Then:

1. $\text{nil} \Omega(\mathbb{C}P^n_{(p)}) = 1$;
2. $\text{nil} \Omega(\mathbb{H}P^n_{(p)}) = 1$ if $p > 3$;
3. $3 \leq \text{nil} \Omega(\mathbb{H}P^n_{(3)}) \leq 4$;
4. $\text{nil} \Omega(\mathbb{H}P^n_{(3)}) = 3$ if $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

Since the space $\mathbb{R}P^{2n+1}$ is simple, there is its localization $\mathbb{R}P^{2n+1}_{(p)}$ for any prime $p \geq 2$. It it also easy to see that $\text{nil} \Omega(\mathbb{R}P^{2n+1}_{(p)}) = 1$ for any odd
prime \( p \) and \( n \geq 0 \). But, the nilpotency \( \text{nil} \Omega(\mathbb{H}P^n) \) for any \( n \geq 2 \) does not appear in the literature known to the author.

It is well known that \( G_{n,m}(\mathbb{K}) \) (resp. \( G^+_{n,m}(\mathbb{K}) \)) are smooth manifolds with diffeomorphisms
\[
G_{n,m}(\mathbb{K}) \approx U_{\mathbb{K}}(n)/(U_{\mathbb{K}}(m) \times U_{\mathbb{K}}(n-m)),
\]
\[
G^+_{n,m}(\mathbb{K}) \approx SU_{\mathbb{K}}(n)/(SU_{\mathbb{K}}(m) \times SU_{\mathbb{K}}(n-m))
\]
for \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H} \).

Since the homomorphism \( \pi_1(SU(m))_{\mathbb{K}} \to \pi_1(SU(n))_{\mathbb{K}} \) of fundamental groups determined by the inclusion map \( SU(m)_{\mathbb{K}} \hookrightarrow SU(n)_{\mathbb{K}} \) for \( 2 \leq m \leq n \) is an epimorphism, we derive that the spaces \( G^+_{n,m}(\mathbb{K}) \) are simply connected for \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H} \). Next, there is the universal covering map
\[
\mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow G^+_{n,m}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow G_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})
\]
and a fibre bundle
\[
S^1 \longrightarrow G^+_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow G_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}).
\]

Now, recall that the classifying
\[
BU_{\mathbb{K}}(m) = \lim_{\longrightarrow} G_{n,m}(\mathbb{K}) = G_{\mathbb{K},m}(\mathbb{K}).
\]

Since the cohomology \( H^*(SO(n),\mathbb{Z}) \) has only 2-torsion and \( H^*(U(n),\mathbb{Z}) \), \( H^*(Sp(n),\mathbb{Z}) \) are torsion free, the fibration
\[
\Omega(SU_{\mathbb{K}}(n)) \longrightarrow \Omega(G^+_{n,m}(\mathbb{K})) \longrightarrow SU_{\mathbb{K}}(m) \times SU_{\mathbb{K}}(n-m),
\]
Corollary 4.1, Theorem 4.4 and Proposition 4.6 lead to:

**Proposition 4.9.** If \( 1 \leq m < n \leq \infty \) then:

1. \( \text{nil} \Omega(G^+_{n,m}(\mathbb{R})) < \infty \) for \( p > 2 \);
2. \( \text{nil} \Omega(G_{n,m}(\mathbb{K})) < \infty \) and \( \text{nil} \Omega(G^+_{n,m}(\mathbb{K})) < \infty \) for \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H} \).

In particular, \( \text{nil} \Omega(\mathbb{H}P^n) < \infty \).

We do not mention above any result on the \( p \)-localization of \( G_{n,m}(\mathbb{R}) \) because we are not sure on its existence.

**Remark 4.10.** The (resp. oriented) flag manifold \( F_{n;1,\ldots,n_k}(\mathbb{K}) \) (resp. \( F^+_{n;1,\ldots,n_k}(\mathbb{K}) \)) with \( 1 \leq n_1 < \cdots < n_k \leq n-1 \) in the \( n \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{K} \)-vector space is smooth with a diffeomorphism
\[
F_{n;1,\ldots,n_k}(\mathbb{K}) \approx U_{\mathbb{K}}(n)/(U_{\mathbb{K}}(n_1) \times U_{\mathbb{K}}(n_1-n_2) \times \cdots \times U_{\mathbb{K}}(n_1-n_2) \times U_{\mathbb{K}}(n-n_k)),
\]
resp.
\[
F^+_{n;1,\ldots,n_k}(\mathbb{K}) \approx SU_{\mathbb{K}}(n)/(SU_{\mathbb{K}}(n_1) \times SU_{\mathbb{K}}(n_1-n_2) \times \cdots \times SU_{\mathbb{K}}(n_1-n_2) \times SU_{\mathbb{K}}(n-n_k)).
\]
Furthermore, there is the universal covering map
\[(\mathbb{Z}_2)^k \to F^+_{n; n_1, \ldots, n_k}(\mathbb{R}) \to F_{n; n_1, \ldots, n_k}(\mathbb{R})\]
and a fibre bundle
\[(S^1)^k \to F^+_{n; n_1, \ldots, n_k}(\mathbb{C}) \to F_{n; n_1, \ldots, n_k}(\mathbb{C}).\]
Consequently, Corollary 4.1, Theorem 4.4, and Proposition 4.6 lead to:
1. \(\text{nil} \Omega(F^+_{n; n_1, \ldots, n_k}(\mathbb{R})(p)) < \infty \) for \( p > 2 \);
2. \(\text{nil} \Omega(F_{n; n_1, \ldots, n_k}(\mathbb{K})) < \infty \) and \(\text{nil} \Omega(F^+_{n; n_1, \ldots, n_k}(\mathbb{K})) < \infty \) for \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H} \).

As above, we do not mention above any result on the \( p \)-localization of \( F_{n; n_1, \ldots, n_k}(\mathbb{R}) \) because we are not sure on its existence.

**Stiefel manifolds.** The Stiefel manifold \( V_{n,m}(\mathbb{K}) \) is the set of all orthonormal \( m \)-frames in the vector space \( \mathbb{K}^n \). That is, it is the set of ordered orthonormal \( m \)-tuples of vectors in \( \mathbb{K}^n \) for \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C} \) or \( \mathbb{H} \).

It is well known that \( V_{n,m}(\mathbb{K}) \) is a smooth manifold and there are diffeomorphisms:
1. \( V_{n,m}(\mathbb{R}) = V_{n,m} \approx O(n)/O(n-m) \approx SO(n)/SO(n-m) \);
2. \( V_{n,m}(\mathbb{C}) = W_{n,m} \approx U(n)/U(n-m) \approx SU(n)/SU(n-m) \);
3. \( V_{n,m}(\mathbb{H}) = X_{n,m} \approx Sp(n)/Sp(n-m) \).

Since the homomorphism \( \pi_1(SU(m)_{\mathbb{K}}) \to \pi_1(SU(n)_{\mathbb{K}}) \) of fundamental groups determined by the inclusion map \( SU(m)_{\mathbb{K}} \hookrightarrow SU(n)_{\mathbb{K}} \) for \( 2 \leq m \leq n \) is an epimorphism, we derive that the spaces \( V_{n,m}(\mathbb{K}) \) are simply connected for \( \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H} \). Then, Corollaries 4.1 and 4.6 lead to:

**Proposition 4.11.** If \( 1 \leq m \leq n \) then:
1. \(\text{nil} \Omega(V_{n,m})(p) < \infty \) for \( p > 2 \);
2. \(\text{nil} \Omega(W_{n,m}) < \infty \);
3. \(\text{nil} \Omega(X_{n,m}) < \infty \).
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